



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED  
JAN 17 2003  
GROUP 303

Electron  
D. Jones  
1/22/03

In re application of:

Inventor: Werner P. Schlecht et al

Appl. No.: 10/015,693

Filing Date: December 17, 2001

For: WINDUP SHADE FOR  
SIMPLIFIED ASSEMBLY IN A  
WINDOW

Art Unit: 3612

Examiner: Hilary L. GUTMAN

Confirmation No.: 6292

Atty. Docket No.: 32164-176676

Customer No.



26694

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

January 15, 2003

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**RESPONSE**

This is a response to the Office Action of September 20, 2002, which has been carefully considered.

In this Office Action, the Examiner has not acted on the merits of the claims, but rather initially has required that Applicants elect either Invention I drawn to a windup window shade as defined by claims 1-31, or Invention II drawn to a motor vehicle door as defined by claims 32-65, for further prosecution in this Application. In response to this restriction requirement, Applicants hereby elect Invention I as defined by claims 1-31 for further prosecution in this Application.

In addition to the above, the Office Action requires that Applicants elect one of the species illustrated in Figures 5-8 respectively of the arrangement for mounting the windup window shade, and one of the species illustrated in Figures 9-14 respectively, of the arrangement for connecting the guide rail with the body of the vehicle, for further prosecution of this Application. In response to this species election, Applicants hereby elect species A as shown in Figure 5 for the mounting of the windup window shade, and species E as shown in Figure 9 for the manner of connecting the guide rail with the body of the vehicle. The claim readable on the elected invention and elected species thereof are claims 1-15 and 20-31, respectively. Accordingly, the action on the merits of at least claims 1-15 and 20-31 is respectfully requested.

A request for the necessary extension in the period for filing this response, as well as check in payment of the applicable extension fee are attached.

If the Examiner is of the opinion that the prosecution of the Application would be advanced by a personal interview, the Examiner is invited to telephone undersigned counsel to arrange for such an interview.

Respectfully submitted,



\_\_\_\_\_  
Norman N. Kunitz  
Registration No. 20,586  
VENABLE  
PO Box 34385  
Washington, D.C. 20043-9998  
Telephone: (202) 962-4800  
Telefax: (202) 962-8300